arXiv:1510.00462v2 [math.NT] 4 Nov 2015

OPTIMAL STRONG APPROXIMATION FOR QUADRATIC FORMS

NASER TALEBIZADEH SARDARI

Abstract. For a non-degenerate integral quadratic form $F(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ in 5 (or more) variables, we prove an optimal strong approximation theorem. Fix any compact subspace $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of the affine quadric $F(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 1$. Suppose that we are given a small ball B of radius $0 < r < 1$ inside Ω, and an integer m. Further assume that N is a given integer which satisfies $N \gg (r^{-1}m)^{4+\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Finally assume that we are given an integral vector $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ mod m. Then we show that there exists an integral solution $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ of $F(x) = N$ such that $x_i \equiv \lambda_i \bmod m$ and $\frac{x}{\sqrt{N}} \in B$, provided that all the local conditions are satisfied. We also show that 4 is the best possible exponent. Moreover, for a non-degenerate integral quadratic form $F(x_1, \dots, x_4)$ in 4 variables we prove the same result if $N \geq (r^{-1}m)^{6+\epsilon}$ and some non-singular local conditions for N are satisfied. Based on some numerical experiments on the diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs, we conjecture that the optimal strong approximation theorem holds for any quadratic form $F(X)$ in 4 variables with the optimal exponent 4.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Statement of results 2
1.2. Further motivations and techniques 6
1.3. Outline of the paper 11
1.4. Acknowledgements 11
2. Repulsion of integral points 11
3. The delta method 14
3.1. The properties of the function $h(x, y)$ 16
4. Quadratic exponential sums $S_{mq}(c)$ 17
5. The integral $I_{mq}(c)$ 21
5.1. Upper bound on the integral $I_{mq}(c)$ for ordinary vectors 22
5.2. Upper bound on the integrals $I_{mq}(c)$ for exceptional vectors 26
5.3. Bounding the sum over the exceptional vectors 28
6. The main theorem 31
6.1. Singular integral 31
6.2. Singular series 33
References 34

Date: Spring 2015.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Statement of results.** Before stating our main theorem, we discuss three applications. The first addresses the question of approximating real matrices by integral matrices. More precisely, let A be a 2×2 matrix of determinant 1 and m be a positive integer. How well can one approximate A by $m^{-\frac{1}{2}}B$, where B is an integral matrix of determinant m? Tijdeman [Tij86], showed that there exists B such that

$$\max_{i,j}|m^{-\frac{1}{2}}b_{ij}-a_{ij}|<Cm^{-\frac{1}{18}}(\log m)^{7/9}, \tag{1.1}$$

where C is a constant depending on $\max a_{ij}$. Later, Harman [Har90] improved the exponent $-\frac{1}{18}$ to $-\frac{1}{8}$ and showed this exponent cannot be smaller than $-\frac{1}{4}$. Harman remarked that if Hooley's R^* Conjecture were true, then the exponent drops to $-\frac{1}{6}$. Subsequently, Chiu [Chi95] remarked that an estimate of $|a(p)|<2p^r$ for Maass cusp forms would yield an exponent of [(r+1/2)-1]/3. Therefore, if one assumes the Ramanujan Conjecture for $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ Maass cusp forms, then the exponent drops to $-\frac{1}{6}$. The best known bound toward the Ramanujan conjecture is $\frac{7}{64}$ [Kim03] and this yields $-\frac{1}{8}-\frac{1}{192}$, which slightly improves the Harman's bound. It is interesting that under the most favorable conditions, the two different methods give the same exponent $-\frac{1}{6}$. Our theorem for the quadratic form $q(x_1,\dots,x_4)=x_1x_4-x_2x_3$ and the archimedean place ∞ shows that the matrix approximation is possible with the exponent $-\frac{1}{6}$ without any assumptions.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $q(x_1,\dots,x_4)=x_1x_4-x_2x_3$ and fix Ω a compact subset of the affine quadric $V:=q(x_1,\dots,x_4)-1$. Then for every $(b_1,\dots,b_4)\in\Omega$, every positive real number $0<r<1$ and any integer m where $r^{-(6+\epsilon)}\ll m$ for any $0<\epsilon$, there exists an integral point $(a_1,\dots,a_4)$ with $q(a_1,\dots,a_4)=m$ such that*

$$\max_i|b_i-\frac{a_i}{\sqrt{m}}|\leq r, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\ll$ only depends on ϵ and Ω. Moreover, we cannot replace 6 in the exponent $r^{-(6+\epsilon)}\ll m$ with a number smaller than 4.

Remark 1.2. *We conjecture that our theorem, which is optimal for 5 or more variables, holds with the same exponent 4 for quadratic forms in 4 variables. As a result, the matrix approximation is possible with the optimal exponent $-\frac{1}{4}$.*

As the second application of our main theorem, we prove an asymptotic formula for the number of lattice points in a small cap on a sphere, i.e. the intersection of a ball with a small radius and the sphere. In the appendix of [BR12], an upper bound for the number of lattice points in such small caps are given. Following a suggestion of Bourgain [Sar15a, Page 23] we promote this to a lower bound and at the same time we prove this more general result, namely an asymptotic formula for the number of lattice points in a small cap. We illustrate our main theorem in the following application:

Corollary 1.3. *Let $S^{d-1}(R)$ be any $d-1$-dimensional sphere of radius R such that $N:=R^2$ is an integer and $d\geq 5$. Suppose we are given a spherical cap of diameter Y where $Y\gg R^{1/2+\delta}$ for any $\delta>0$. Then the number of integral lattice points inside this spherical cap is given by the following formula*

$$\sigma_\infty\mathfrak{S}(N)\frac{Y^{d-1}}{R}+O_{\epsilon,\delta}(\frac{Y^{d-1}}{R^{1+\epsilon}}), \tag{1.3}$$

where O and ϵ depend on δ not on the spherical cap or N. Moreover, $Y \gg R^{1/2+\delta}$ is necessary. For $d = 4$, if $Y \geq R^{2/3+\delta}$ for any $\delta > 0$ and N is not divisible by 2^k where $2^k \gg N^\epsilon$ for any ϵ. Then we have the same formula.

Remark 1.4. *$\mathfrak{S}(N) = \prod_p \sigma_p(N)$ is the singular series and is nonzero if and only if $\sigma_p(N) \neq 0$ for every p, i.e. the local conditions are satisfied. For $d \geq 5$, if $\mathfrak{S}(N) \neq 0$ then $\mathfrak{S}(N)$ is bounded form below and above by two positive constants c_1 and c_2 where do not depend on N. For $d = 4$, Malyshev gave a lower bound on the singular series in a more general case [Mal62, Remark 7 Page 73] as a result we have the following inequality*

$$\mathfrak{S}(N) \gg N^{-\epsilon}.$$

σ_∞ is the singular integral

$$\sigma_\infty := \frac{Vol\ (spherical\ cap\ of\ size\ Y)}{Y^{d-1}}.$$

Therefore, the main term dominates the error term.

On the other hand, for every primitive integral vector $\vec{a}$, let $\tilde{a} = R\frac{\vec{a}}{|a|}$ be the parallel vector to $\vec{a}$ on the sphere $S^{d-1}(R)$. Then there is a cap of size $c_1\frac{R^{1/2}}{\sqrt{|a|}}$ with no integral points inside the cap centered at $\tilde{a}$ with size $c_2\frac{R^{1/2}}{\sqrt{|a|}}$, where c_1 and c_2 are universal constants. As a result, the covering exponent of integral points on the sphere, that is defined in [Sar15a], is

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \limsup_{R\to\infty} \frac{\log\big(\text{Number of integral points on } S^{d-1}(R)\big)}{\log\big(\frac{\text{Volume of } S^{d-1}(R)}{\text{Volume of the largest empty spherical cap}}\big)} \\ &= \frac{\log(R^{d-2})}{\log(R^{\frac{d-1}{2}})} \\ &= 2 - \frac{2}{d-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

for $d \geq 5$ and is

$$4/3 \leq K \leq 2$$

for $d = 4$.

Remark 1.5. *In the letter [Sar15a] to Aaronson and Pollington, Sarnak showed that $4/3 \leq K \leq 2$ for $d = 4$. To show that $K \leq 2$ he appealed to the Ramanujan bound on the coefficients of weight k-modular forms, while the lower bound $4/3 \leq K$ is a consequence of an elementary number theory argument. Furthermore, Sarnak states some open problems [Sar15a, Page 24]. The first one is to show that $K < 2$ or even that $K = 4/3$. We conjecture that the optimal strong approximation holds for quadratic forms in 4 variables which would imply that indeed $K = 4/3$.*

Another application of the theorem 1.8 recovers the best known upper bound for the diameter of the Ramanujan graphs constructed by Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak [LPS88] without appealing to the Ramanujan bound on weight two modular forms [Eic54].

Corollary 1.6. *Let $q(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i^2$ with $5 \leq d$. Suppose m and N are given integers where $N \gg m^{4+\delta}$ for any $\delta > 0$, and assume that $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ is any integral vector where $F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \equiv N \bmod m$. Then we have an integral solutions for*

$$q(x_1, \dots, x_d) = N \text{ with } x_i \equiv \lambda_i \bmod m,$$

provided that all the local conditions are satisfied. For $d = 4$, we prove the same result when $N \gg m^{6+\delta}$ and N is not divisible by 2^k where $2^k \gg N^{\epsilon}$ for any ϵ . In particular, we recover the best known upper bound on the diameter of Ramanujan graphs by choosing $N = p^n$ and $m = q$ where $q^{6+\delta} \ll p^n$ for any $0 < \delta$.

Remark 1.7. *In [TS15] we demonstrated some numerical experiments on the diameter of the LPS Ramanujan graphs that the diameter is asymptotically*

$$diam \approx \frac{4}{3} \log_{k-1}(n).$$

The numerical experiments for the diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs support our conjecture for the optimal strong approximation for quadratic forms in 4 variables.

The first form of our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.8. *Fix $F(X)$ a non-degenerate quadratic form in 5 or more variables and let Ω be a compact subset of the affine quadric $F(X) = 1$ over real numbers. Suppose that we are given any small ball B of radius $0 < r < 1$ inside Ω, and an integer m. Further assume that N is a given integer which satisfies*

$$N \gg (r^{-1}m)^{4+\delta},$$

for any $\delta > 0$ where the constant for $\gg$ only depends on Ω and δ. Finally assume that we are given an integral vector $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ mod m. Then there exists an integral solution $F(x_1, \dots, x_d) = N$ such that

$$x_i \equiv \lambda_i \bmod m \text{ and } \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{\sqrt{N}} \in B,$$

provided that all the local conditions are satisfied. The exponent 4 is optimal in $N \gg (r^{-1}m)^{4+\delta}$.

For a quadratic form $F(X)$ in 4 variables the same result holds provided that

$$N \gg (r^{-1}m)^{6+\delta},$$

and we require non-singular local conditions on N, i.e. the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(N) \gg N^{\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. We cannot replace 6 in the exponent with a number smaller than 4.

Based on our numerical experiments on the diameter of the Ramanujan graph [TS15] and the existence of the optimal lift for the case of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to SL_2(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q\mathbb{Z}})$ which is shown by an elementry method by Sarnak [Sar15b], we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.9. *We conjecture that for a quadratic form with 4 variables the same result holds for*

$$N \gg (r^{-1}m)^{4+\delta}.$$

Therefore, the exponent 4 is the optimal exponent for every quadratic form with 4 or more variables.

In what follows, we state a stronger theorem and as a result deduce our main theorem. We give a formula for the number of integral points in an open neighborhood which is defined in adelic topology. For every prime number p, there is a natural norm $\|.\|_p$ on the vector space $\mathbb{Q}_p^d$ given by

$$\|x-y\|_p := \max_{1\leq i\leq n} |x_i - y_i|_p. \tag{1.5}$$

For the archimedean place ∞, we take an arbitrary norm $\|.\|_\infty$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For a place v of $\mathbb{Q}$ and a point $b \in \mathbb{Q}_v^d$, we define the ball $B_v(b,r)$ centered at b and radius r as follows:

$$B_v(b,r) := \big\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_v^d : \|x-b\|_v \leq r\big\}. \tag{1.6}$$

Note that for a non-archimedean place v, the radius r takes discrete values q_v^n, where q_v is the order of the residue field and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define the integral ring of adele as the product

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d := R^d \times \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p^d, \tag{1.7}$$

and equip it with the product metric. We define a global ball B in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d$ to be the product of local ones such that the radius of local balls is 1 for almost all places, i.e.

$$B = B(b,r) \times \prod_p B(a(p), p^{-\nu_p}),$$

such that $\nu_p = 0$ for almost all primes. Note that $B(a(p),1) = \mathbb{Z}_p^d$. We define the norm of the global ball B to be

$$|B| := r \prod_p p^{-\nu_p}.$$

Since $\nu_p = 0$ for almost all primes the norm is well defined for global balls. The following theorem is the most general form of our main theorem.

Theorem 1.10. *Fix a non-degenerate quadratic form $F(X) = X^T AX$ in $d \geq 5$ variables and let V_N be the affine quadric $F(X) - N = 0$. Fix Ω a compact subspace of the quadric $V_1(\mathbb{R})$ and consider the following compact topological space:*

$$\Theta := \Omega \times \prod_p V_N(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Suppose that we are given a global ball $B := B(b,r) \times \prod_p B(a(p), p^{-\nu_p})$ inside Θ with norm

$$|B| \gg N^{-\frac{1}{4}+\delta},$$

where $\gcd(a(p),p) = 1$, i.e. $|a(p)|_p = 1$. Let $|V_N(\mathbb{Z}) \cap B|$ be the number of integral points inside B, then

$$|V_N(\mathbb{Z}) \cap B| = \sigma_\infty(F,b) \prod_p \sigma_p(a(p),N) |B|^{d-1} N^{\frac{d-2}{2}} + O(|B|^{d-1} N^{\frac{d-2}{2}} N^{-\epsilon}), \tag{1.8}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ where ϵ and O only depend on Ω and δ and not on the global ball B or N. For a non-degenerate quadratic form $F(X)$ in 4 variables the same formula holds provided that

$$|B| \gg N^{-\frac{1}{6}+\delta}.$$

and we require non-singular local conditions on N, i.e. the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(N) \gg N^{\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. On the other hand, fix any primitive integral vector $q := (q_1, \dots, q_d)$, i.e. $\gcd(q_1, \dots, q_d) = 1$. Let

$$B := B(a_\infty q, r) \times \prod_p B(a_p q, p^{-\nu_p}),$$

where $a_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $a_p q \in V_N(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ if $\nu_p \neq 0$. Note that there exists $a_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $a_p^2 = \frac{N}{F(q)}$ if $\left(\frac{N}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{F(q)}{v}\right)$, where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{\cdot}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol. Hence, for the finite set of places where $\nu_p \neq 0$, we require that $\left(\frac{N}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{F(q)}{v}\right)$. Assume that

$$|B| \geq c_1 \frac{N^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{|q|}}$$

then there exists a global B' inside B with no integral points and a norm greater than

$$|B'| > c_2 \frac{N^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{|q|}}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are universal constants depends only on Ω and $F(X)$.

Remark 1.11. *We have the following lower bounds on the product of local densities [Mal62, Remark 7 Page 73]*

$$c_\epsilon N^{-\epsilon} \leq \sigma_\infty(F, b) \prod_p \sigma_p(a(p), N).$$

where c_ϵ only depends on $F(x)$ and ϵ. Therefore, the error term is smaller by a factor of $N^{-\epsilon}$. If $\gcd(p^{\nu_p}, a_1(p), \dots, a_d(p)) = p^{h_p} \neq 1$ for some p, we can divide N by p^{2h_p} and replace the local balls at the place p by $B(\frac{a(p)}{p^{h_p}}, p^{-(\nu_p - h_p)})$ and use the above theorem. Since the power of N is $\frac{d-2}{2}$ and the power of $|B|$ is $d-1$ in the formula 1.8, the main term would be multiplied by $\prod_p p^{h_p}$.

1.2. **Further motivations and techniques.**

In several papers, Wright proved various results about the representation of a number N as a sum of squares "almost proportional" to assigned positive numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d$. For example in [Wri33], he improved his previous result and showed in the case of sum of five squares, if

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_5 = 1, \text{ where } 0 < \lambda_i, \\ \text{and } 0 < \epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

Then a large enough integer N depending on ϵ is representable by a sum of five squares satisfying the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} N &= n_1^2 + \cdots + n_5^2, \\ |n_i^2 - \lambda_i N| &< U \\ N^{1-\frac{1}{8}+\epsilon} &\leq U. \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

Note that the inequality $N^{1-\frac{1}{8}+\epsilon} \leq U$ is not sharp and can be improved to $N^{1-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} \leq U$. He also showed that the number of representation is

$$\asymp \frac{U^4}{\sqrt{N}}. \tag{1.11}$$

By an entirely elementary method Chowla and Auluck in [AC37] proved a sharp result for the special point $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_4) = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ and sum of four squares. They showed every positive integer $N \neq 0 \bmod 8$ is expressible in the form

$$N = n_1^2 + \cdots + n_4^2,$$

where n_i are integers satisfying

$$\frac{N}{4} - n_i^2 = O(N^{\frac{3}{4}}).$$

It follows from Wright's result [Wri37] that the $O(N^{\frac{3}{4}})$ in this theorem cannot be replaced by $o(N^{\frac{3}{4}})$. More generally, he considered the sum of d kth powers and proved the diagonal point $(a, \ldots, a)$ repels the integral points. We will discuss this repulsion property in more detail in section 2.

In a recent paper [Dae10], Daemen gave a lower bound for the number of the integral points $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ close to the diagonal point $(a, \ldots, a)$ such that

$$x_1^k + \cdots + x_s^k = N$$

He proved that for every k there exists s_k such that if $s \geq s_k$, if one takes a neighbourhood bigger by a large constant than the repulsion neighbourhood of Wright [Wri37], then one has a lower bound for the number of integral points which differs by a constant compared to the upper bound. For the sum of squares, his result implies that $s_2 = 9$. He remarked that by working a little harder, one can replace the number 9 for s_2 by 7. Our results show that this holds with 5 or more variables.

Our method is based on the Kloosterman circle method. This method has been developed by Kloosterman to prove the local to global principal for quadratic forms in 4 variables; see [Klo27]. For the purpose of representation of integers by quadratic forms, if the number of variables is 5 or more the classical circle method of "major and minor " arcs works fine. However, for 4 variables it does not work. Kloosterman introduced a new method of dissection by Farey sequence (and no minor arcs) which deals with 4 variables. The problem of the distribution of integral points on quadrics between different residue classes to a fixed integer m has been studied by Malyshev; see [Mal62]. Malyshev used Kloosterman's method and proved a result about the distribution of integral points of the quadric $F(X) = N$ with 4 or more variables between residue class of an integer m. An application of our main theorem 1.8 significantly improves the exponents of m and N in his main theorem for $F(X)$ fixed. In our work, the method proves to be decisive in 5 or more variables as it gives the optimal exponent for lifting solutions. Our small sets optimal result for 5 variables depends crucially on the square root cancellation in the exponential sums and also relies on the refinement of the Kloosterman method developed by Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec that is called delta method; see [DFI93]. In the delta method we use a smooth cut off function over the Farey dissections. The delta method allows us to use the fast decay of the Fourier transform of the weight function and makes computations handier. For proving our main theorem 1.8, we are using a version of this method developed by Heath-Brown in [HB96]. In that method we first apply the delta method and then a Poisson summation over the sum of lattice points. We briefly describe the new method here and its advantages compared to the classical circle method.

Assume that we have a smooth bounded function $|F(x_1,\dots,x_d)| \leq T$ defined on a bounded region $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and it takes integral values on the integral points. We are interested in counting the integral roots of F inside U. We write N for the weighted number of the integral roots counted by a smooth weight function w which is compactly supported on U. Since the function is bounded by T, we have the following formula for N:

$$N = \frac{1}{2T+1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} w(x) \sum_{a=0}^{T-1} e(\frac{aF(x)}{2T+1}). \tag{1.12}$$

Following the classical circle method, we collect the fractions $\frac{a}{T}$ which are close to the $\frac{r}{q}$ where q is much smaller than T in to the major arcs and estimate this sum which gives us the main term. We give an upper bound for the complement of this set which is the minor arcs.

In the new circle method, the main idea is that we can expand the delta function on the integral interval $[-T, -(T-1), \dots, T-1, T]$ with smoother functions than $e(\frac{ax}{2T+1})$. The dimension of the vector space of functions on this interval is $2T+1$. If we take the following set of function

$$\big\{ e(\frac{ax}{q}) : \text{ where } \gcd(a,q) = 1 \text{ and } q \leq Q := 10\sqrt{T} \big\} \tag{1.13}$$

Then this set spans the vector space of functions on the integral interval $[-T, -(T-1), \dots, T-1, T]$. We can write the delta function as a linear combination of these functions and by an averaging argument we can assume without lost of generality that the coefficients $c_{q,n}$ don't depend on a mod q. Hence for $-T \leq n \leq T$,

$$\delta(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \bmod q} c_{q,n} e(\frac{an}{q}) \tag{1.14}$$

Note that q is now bounded by $10\sqrt{T}$ compared to $2T+1$ by the previous expansion, where we used $e(\frac{aF(x)}{2T+1})$. However, we need to control the smoothness of the coefficients $c_{q,n}$ as a function of n. Intuitively, we want to pay a higher cost for smaller value of q since it is multiplied by a smoother function $e(\frac{ax}{q})$ and we want the whole function $c_{q,n}e(\frac{an}{q})$ be smooth. In other words, we want $c_{k_1,n}$ be smoother than $c_{k_2,n}$ if $k_1 \geq k_2$. The existence of this expansion for the delta function has been established by Iwaniec and Kowalski; see [IK04, Chapter 20]. They proved that there exists an appropriate smooth function $h(x,y)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{q,n} &= \frac{C_Q}{Q^2} h(\frac{q}{Q}, \frac{n}{Q^2}), \text{ where } Q^2 \approx T \\ \delta(n) &= \frac{C_Q}{Q^2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \bmod q} e(\frac{an}{q}) h(\frac{q}{Q}, \frac{n}{Q^2}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

We construct and state the main properties of $h(x,y)$ in Section 3.1. Using this expansion, we have the following formula for N

$$N = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{C_Q}{Q^2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \bmod q} e(\frac{aF(x)}{q}) h(\frac{q}{Q}, \frac{F(x)}{Q^2}) w(x). \tag{1.16}$$

We change the order of the summation and apply the Poisson summation on the sum over $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We obtain

$$N = \frac{C_Q}{Q^2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \bmod q} q^{-d} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}^d} S_q(a,c) I_q(c). \tag{1.17}$$

From now on, we assume that the function $F(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form. We sum the Gaussian sums $S_q(a,c)$ over $\sum_{a \bmod q}$ and obtain

$$S_q(c) := \sum_{a \bmod q} S_q(a,c). \tag{1.18}$$

$S_q(c)$ is a Kloostermann's sum for even d or Salie's sum for odd d. In either cases, we have square root cancellation. Hence,

$$N = \frac{C_Q}{Q^2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} q^{-d} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}^d} S_q(c) I_q(c). \tag{1.19}$$

We separate the nonzero terms and write

$$N = \frac{C_Q}{Q^2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} q^{-d} S_q(0) I_q(0) + \frac{C_Q}{Q^2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} q^{-d} \sum_{c \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} S_q(c) I_q(c). \tag{1.20}$$

We usually get the main term of the counting from the zero terms and bound the nonzero terms by putting absolute value inside the sum. We give upper bounds on

$$\frac{C_Q}{Q^2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} q^{-d} \sum_{c \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |S_q(c)||I_q(c)| \tag{1.21}$$

Remark 1.12. *Note that in the sum (1.21), we are using different upper bounds by algebraic tools on $S_q(c)$ and from analysis on $I_q(c)$. The norm of c is not important in the sum $S_q(c)$, it only depends on the the congruence class of the integral vector c mod q. On the other hand, the fact that c is an integral vector is not important in $I_q(c)$, the absolute value of $I_q(c)$ depends on the position of this vector as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the support of the weight function w.*

For the integral $I_q(c)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_q(c) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(\frac{q}{Q}, \frac{F(x)}{Q^2}) w(x) e(-\frac{\langle c, x \rangle}{q}) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{\frac{q}{Q}}(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w(x) e(\xi \frac{F(x)}{Q^2} - \frac{\langle c, x \rangle}{q}) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

where $p_r(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(r,x) e(-x\xi) dx$, is the Fourier transform of $h(r,x)$ in variable x. We consider the function $I_q(kc)$ as a function of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the direction of the vector c. We prove that, we have either an exponential decay or a polynomial decay in k. If we don't have a critical point in the oscillatory function $\xi \frac{F(x)}{Q^2} - \frac{\langle c,x \rangle}{q}$ on the support of $w(x)$, then we have exponential decay in k and this is the content of the Lemma 5.1. Otherwise, we have polynomial decay and this is the content of the Lemma 5.2. Following this strategy, we prove our main theorem 1.8 in section 6.

In a series of lectures and notes; see [Sar15a], Sarnak revisited the Solovay-Kitaev theorem. The general question comes from theoretical quantum computing. We briefly state the problem here and mention its relevance to our work. Assume that

we have a compact group G, (for example $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ or $PU(2)$). We want to find a finite set $\mathfrak{g} := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_v\}$ such that the group $\Gamma := \langle s_1, \dots, s_v \rangle$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}$ is topologically dense in G and covers G with a "fast mixing rate". Sarnak defined the covering exponent $K(\mathfrak{g})$ in [Sar15a] and proved for example the following special case:

Example 1.13. *Let $G = PU(2)$ and*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\begin{bmatrix} 1+2i & 0 \\ 0 & 1-2i \end{bmatrix}^{\pm}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2i \\ 2i & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\pm}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\pm}\},$$

then we have the following bound for the covering exponent

$$\frac{4}{3} \leq K(\mathfrak{g}) \leq 2 \tag{1.23}$$

The inequalities (1.23) is another presentation of our main theorem 1.8 for the diophantine equation $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_4^2 = 5^h$. The lower bound $\frac{4}{3} \leq K(\mathfrak{g})$ comes from the repulsion of the integral points, in another words the fact that the lower bound $r^{-4} \ll 5^h$ is optimal in our main theorem 1.8. The upper bound $K(\mathfrak{g}) \leq 2$ comes from the special case $d = 4$ in our main theorem 1.8 and the lower bound $r^{-6} \ll 5^h$ in this case. In a letter to Scott Aaronson and Andy Pollington, Sarnak wrote [Sar15a, Page 23]:

" For $n \geq 3$ the determination of K (the covering exponent) for S-arithmetic points on S^n becomes easier. As pointed out to me by Bourgain, an application of the circle method as done in the Appendix [BR12], can be promoted to an asymptotic (and not just an upper bound) when one has this many variables, for counting these points in small caps. As a consequence we have together with the repulsion that for $n \geq 3$

$$K(S^n, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]) = 2 - \frac{2}{n}. \tag{1.24}$$

"

This was our main motivation to run the circle method which allowed us to prove our main theorem 1.8. We are very grateful for this suggestion.

In the recent work of Kleinbock and Merrill [KM13], they proved the analogue of the Dirichlet's Theorem for the rational points on spheres. Their result implies that the covering exponent for the rational points on spheres is 2.

$$K(S^n, \mathbb{Q}) = 2 \tag{1.25}$$

Their method is quite interesting. It corresponds the problem of the rational approximation to the following standard question in the dynamical system: whether the orbit of a point hits the "Shrinking target". In the expository paper of Gosh-Gorodnik and Nevo [GGN14], the more general problem of diophantine approximation for the homogeneous varieties has been discussed. We briefly describe their method here. Given a homogeneous variety $X := G/H$, the rational points or S-Integral points of X are corresponded to the orbit of a lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ acting on X. The "Duality principal" corresponds the question about the density of the orbit Γ in X to the mixing property of the orbit H acting on $\Gamma\backslash G$. Now, The question is about the decay of the matrix coefficients of the representation of $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ as a representation of H. In the cases, where the restriction of the automorphic representation of $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ to H is tempered as a representation of H, we have optimal diophantine approximation exponents.

1.3. **Outline of the paper.**

In section 2, we prove that the exponent 4 in the main theorem 1.8 is the best possible exponent, i.e., we construct an example where $N \gg r^{-4}\prod_p r_p^{-4}$ and for which we do not have any integral points on $F(x) = N$ in the corresponded local neighborhood. We explain briefly the main idea here. We take a point $a \in F(x) = N$, so that the tangent space of this point is defined by a hyperplane with integral coefficients. We consider those integral points where satisfies the mod m congruence condition $F(a_1, \ldots, a_d) \equiv l \bmod m$. Then if we slice our variety with the hyperplanes passing through these integral points and are parallel to this tangent space. The distance of those parallel hyperplanes is of order m. However, if we restrict our integral point to those on the variety $F(x) = N$, then the congruence condition $F(a_1, \ldots, a_d) \equiv l \bmod m$, impose a congruence condition mod m^2 and as a result, The distance of those parallel hyperplanes is of order m^2. Hence, we don't have any integral points with the given congruence condition between these slices and this gives us the repulsion that we need.

In section 3, we describe the delta method. We cite the main properties of the weight function $h(x, y)$ in lemma 3.3 and lemma 3.4. These are standard lemma's and can be find in the literature [HB96]. The lemma 3.4 is crucial in the upper bound that we give on the integral $I_{mq}(c)$.

In section 4, we give an upper for the quadratic exponential sums $S_{mq}(c)$. First in Lemma 4.1, we show that this exponential sum is nonzero for certain congruence class $c \bmod m$. We reduce the sum $S_{mq}(c)$ to the Kloostermann's sum (Salie's sum) for even (odd) dimension. We use the well known bounds on the Kloostermann's sum (Salie's sum) to prove the main Lemma 4.4.

In section 5, we give an upper bound on the integral $I_{mq}(c)$. In the subsection 5.1, we prove an upper bound on the integral $I_{mq}(c)$ from the decay of the Fourier transform of $h(x, y)$ given in the lemma 3.4. In subsection 5.2, we use the stationary phase theorem to give an upper bound on the integral $I_{mq}(c)$. We improve the exponent $1 - \frac{n}{2}$ in the [HB96][Lemma 22; Page 39] of the Heath-Brown paper to $-\frac{n-1}{2}$. This improvement is essential in our treatment to get an optimal strong approximation result and this is the subject of Lemma 5.2. Finally, in the section 6 we prove our main theorem 1.10.

1.4. **Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank my Ph.D. advisor Peter Sarnak for several insightful and inspiring conversations during the course of this work. In fact the starting point of this work was his letter [Sar15a] and in particular the key observation of Bourgain noted there as well as above. Furthermore, I am grateful for his suggestion to look at the diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs and for pointing out to me the papers of Bourgain and Rudnick [BR12] and Heath-Brown [HB96] which turned out to be very useful. I am also very thankful for Professor Sarnak and Professor Browning comments on earlier versions of this work.

2. Repulsion of integral points

In this section we prove that the exponent 4 in our main theorem cannot be replaced by a smaller number. In fact we prove the second part of Theorem 1.10 which is a stronger result.

Let $F(X) = X^T AX$ be our non-degenerate quadratic form with 4 or more variables and discriminante $\Delta = \det(A)$. We recall that

$$\Theta := \Omega \times \prod_p V_N(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

For every primitive integral vector q, a positive real number $0 < r < 1$ and an integer m where

$$\gcd(m, F(q)N\Delta) = 1,$$

and

$$\Big(\frac{N}{p}\Big) = \Big(\frac{F(q)}{p}\Big) \text{ for every prime } p|m \ ,$$

where $\Big(\frac{\cdot}{\cdot}\Big)$ is the Legendre symbol and is the sign for archimedean place. We define a global ball B inside Θ as

$$B := B(a_\infty q, r) \times \prod_p B(a_p q, p^{-\mathrm{ord}_p(m)}),$$

where $a_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $a_p q \in V_N(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ if $p|m$. Note that $|B| = rm^{-1}$.

Remark 2.1. *Note that $a_p q \in V_N(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ implies that $a_p^2 F(q) = N$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence, $a_p^2 = \frac{N}{F(q)}$. Since $\Big(\frac{N}{p}\Big) = \Big(\frac{F(q)}{p}\Big)$, we have two solutions $\pm a_p$ for $a_p^2 = \frac{N}{F(q)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and for every choice of square root at any prime of m we have a different global ball B.*

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that*

$$|B| \geq c_1 \frac{N^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{|q|}},$$

then there exists a global B' inside B with no integral points and a norm greater than

$$|B'| > c_2 \frac{N^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{|q|}}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are universal constants and depend only on Ω and $F(X)$.

Proof: First, we consider the integral points inside B_f, the finite part of the global ball B which contains B, namley

$$B_f := \prod_p B(a_p q, p^{-\mathrm{ord}_p(m)}).$$

We assume that x is an integral point such that $x \in B_f$. Hence,

$$x \equiv a_p q \bmod p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(m)}, \text{ for every } p|m.$$

From Chinese remainder theorem there exists α such that

$$x \equiv \alpha q \bmod m.$$

where $\alpha \equiv a_p \bmod p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(m)}$. Hence, $x = mt + \alpha q$ for some integral vector t. We write the Taylor expansion of $F(mt + \alpha q)$ at αq , i.e.

$$F(tm + \alpha q) = m^2 F(t) + 2mt^T A\alpha q + F(\alpha q) = N.$$

Since $\gcd(\alpha, m) = 1$, we deduce that

$$t^T Aq \equiv \frac{N - F(\alpha q)}{2\alpha} \bmod m.$$

Therefore, there exists a fixed number $l_0 \bmod m^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, Aq\rangle &\equiv \langle mt + \alpha q, Aq\rangle \mod m^2 \\ &\equiv l_0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Now consider the infinite part of the global ball B, namely

$$B_\infty := B(a_\infty q, r)$$

Our strategy is to find a shell inside this ball which avoids the integral points $V_N(\mathbb{Z})$. Inside B_∞ we let $\tilde{q}$ be a real point in $V_N(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{q}, Aq\rangle = \frac{m^2}{2} + l_0 + km^2 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \text{and } |\tilde{q} - a_\infty q| \text{ is minimal.} \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Note that $\tilde{q}$ lies on the nearest parallel hyperplanes $\langle x, Aq\rangle = \frac{m^2}{2} + l_0 + km^2$, when we vary $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, to $a_\infty q$. Assume that $x = \tilde{q} + \xi$ is an integral point inside the global ball B with $F(x) = N$. We write the Taylor expansion of of $F(x)$ at $\tilde{q}$

$$F(\tilde{q} + \xi) = F(\tilde{q}) + 2\tilde{q}^T A\xi + F(\xi)$$

Since $F(x) = F(\tilde{q}) = N$, we deduce that

$$2\tilde{q}^T A\xi + F(\xi) = 0$$

We give a lower bound on $|2\tilde{q}^T A\xi| = \langle 2\xi, A\tilde{q}\rangle$. Note that

$$|\, \langle 2\xi, A\tilde{q}\rangle \,| \geq |\, \langle 2\xi, a_\infty Aq\rangle \,| - |\, \langle 2\xi, A(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)\rangle \,|$$

By the definition of $\tilde{q}$ given in (2.2), we have $\langle 2\xi, Aq\rangle \geq m^2$ and we also use the Cauchy inequality to get $|\, \langle 2\xi, A(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)\rangle \,| \leq 2|\xi||A||(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)|$. Therefore,

$$|2\tilde{q}^T A\xi| \geq m^2 a_\infty - 2|\xi||A||(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)|$$

Therefore, $2\tilde{q}^T A\xi + F(\xi) = 0$ implise

$$|F(\xi)| \geq m^2 a_\infty - 2|\xi||A||(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)| \tag{2.3}$$

From the minimality condition in (2.2), we give an upper bound on $|\tilde{q} - a_\infty q|$. We have

$$F(\tilde{q}) = N$$

$$[(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q) + q]^T A[(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q) + a_\infty q] = N$$

Hence

$$(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)^T A(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q) + 2(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)^T A a_\infty q = 0$$

From the minimality condition, one can check that $\tilde{q} - a_\infty q$ is the maximal eigenvector for A, hence

$$(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)^T A(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q) = |A||(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)|^2,$$

and moreover

$$|2(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)^T A a_\infty q| \leq 2a_\infty m^2.$$

Therefore,

$$|A||(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)|^2 \leq 2a_\infty m^2.$$

Hence,

$$|A||(\tilde{q} - a_\infty q)| \leq m a_\infty^{1/2} \sqrt{2|A|}. \tag{2.4}$$

We plug in this upper bound in the inequality (2.3), and obtain

$$|F(\xi)| \geq m^2 a_\infty - 2|\xi| m a_\infty^{1/2} \sqrt{2|A|}.$$

Since $|F(\xi)| \leq |\xi|^2 |A|$, we deduce that

$$|\xi|^2 \geq \frac{m^2 a_\infty}{|A|} - \frac{2\sqrt{2}|\xi| m a_\infty^{1/2}}{\sqrt{|A|}}. \tag{2.5}$$

From the above inequality, it is easy to check that

$$|\xi| > \frac{m a_\infty^{1/2}}{4\sqrt{|A|}}.$$

Since $\sqrt{N} = a_\infty |F(q)|^{1/2}$, there exists a constant c_2 depending on A such that

$$\frac{|\xi|}{\sqrt{N}} \geq c_2 \frac{m}{a_\infty^{1/2} |F(q)|^{1/2}}.$$

We deduce that we don't have any integral point inside the following global ball

$$B' := B(\frac{\tilde{q}}{\sqrt{N}}, \frac{|\xi|}{\sqrt{N}}) \prod_p B(a_p q, p^{-\mathrm{ord}_p(m)}). \tag{2.6}$$

We also note that

$$\begin{aligned} |B'| &= \frac{|\xi|}{\sqrt{N}} m^{-1} \\ &\geq c_2 a_\infty^{-1/2} |F(q)|^{-1/2} \\ &\geq c_2 N^{-1/4} |F(q)|^{-1/4} \\ &\geq \frac{c_2 N^{-1/4}}{|A| \sqrt{|q|}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore, we conclude the second part of our main theorem 1.10.□

3. The delta method

In this section, we give a formula for the weighted number of the integral points in a small neighborhood of a point b on the quadric $F(X) = N$. We use a smooth weight function w which is supported in a neighborhood of the point b with radius $r\sqrt{N}$. We also impose some congruence conditions mod m on the integral points. We use the additive character $e(\frac{2\pi i x}{m})$ to cut these congruence conditions. We begin with the following expansion of the delta function which is developed by Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec. We cite this theorem from [HB96, Theorem 1 Page 3].

Theorem 3.1. *For any integer n let*

$$\delta_n = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } n = 0, \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Then for any $Q > 1$ there is a positive constant C_Q, and an infinitely differentiable function $h(x, y)$ defined on the set $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\delta_n = C_Q Q^{-2} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a \in \left(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}\right)^*} e(\frac{an}{q}) h(\frac{q}{Q}, \frac{n}{Q^2}). \tag{3.2}$$

The constant C_Q satisfies

$$C_Q = 1 + O_N(Q^{-N}) \tag{3.3}$$

for any $N > 0$. Moreover $h(x, y) \ll x^{-1}$ for all y, and $h(x, y)$ is non-zero only for $x \leq \max(1, 2|y|)$.

We assume that $F(X) = X^T AX$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form and we impose the following congruence conditions mod m on the integral points $X = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ such that $F(X) = N$.

$$x_i \equiv \lambda_i \bmod m. \tag{3.4}$$

We let

$$x_i = t_i m + \lambda_i,$$

where $-\frac{m-1}{2} \leq \lambda_i \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$. Then,

$$F\big(t_1 m + \lambda_1, \dots, t_d m + \lambda_d\big) = N,$$

$$m^2 F(t_1, \dots, t_d) + 2m\lambda^T At = N - F(\lambda).$$

We define $km := N - F(\lambda)$. Then,

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_d) + \frac{1}{m}(2\lambda^T At - k) = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

We also define

$$G(t_1, \dots, t_d) := F(t_1, \dots, t_d) + \frac{1}{m}(2\lambda^T At - k) = \frac{F(mt + \lambda) - N}{m^2}.$$

We let w be a smooth compactly supported weight function such that

$$w(x) = 0 \text{ if } |x - b| \geq r\sqrt{N}.$$

We write $N(a, w)$ for the weighted number of the integral points on the quadric $F(X) = N$ subjected to the the congruence condition (3.4). We have the following formal expansion for $N(a, w)$

$$N(a, w) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega(t)\delta_{(G(t))}. \tag{3.6}$$

$$N(a, w) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l \bmod m} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e\big(\frac{l}{m}(2\lambda^T At - k)\big) w(t)\delta(G(t_1, \dots, t_d)) \tag{3.7}$$

Remark 3.2. *Note that the summation on l mod m is non-zero only if*

$$2\lambda^T At - k \equiv 0 \text{ mod } m.$$

Hence, if $G(t_1, \dots, t_d)$ has non-integral values then this summation is zero. For the integral values of $G(t)$, we use the delta expansion (3.2) to cut out the non-zero integral values of $G(t)$.

From the assumption of the theorem 1.8, we have $N = (mr^{-1})^{4+2\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$. We take $Q = (mr^{-1})^{1+\delta}$ in the delta expansion (3.2). Therefore, from Remark 3.2, we have the following expression for $N(a, w)$

$$N(a,w)=\frac{C_Q}{mQ^2}\sum_l\sum_q\sideset{}{^*}\sum_a\sum_t e\Big(\frac{(lq+a)(2\lambda^TAt-k)+amF(t)}{mq}\Big) \\ h(\frac{q}{Q},\frac{F(mt+\lambda)-N}{m^2Q^2})w(t) \tag{3.8}$$

We apply the Poisson summation formula on the t variable to obtain

$$N(a,w)=\frac{C_Q}{mQ^2}\sum_l\sum_q\sideset{}{^*}\sum_a\sum_c (mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(a,l,c)I_{mq}(c), \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$I_{mq}(c)=\int h(\frac{q}{Q},\frac{F(t_1,\dots,t_d)-N}{m^2Q^2})w(t)e\big(-\frac{\langle c,t\rangle}{mq}\big)dt_1\dots dt_d, \tag{3.10}$$

$$S_{mq}(a,l,c)=\sum_{b\in\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{mq\mathbb{Z}}^d} e\Big(\frac{(lq+a)(2\lambda^TAt-k)+amF(b)+\langle c,b\rangle}{mq}\Big). \tag{3.11}$$

We define the sum $S_{mq}(c)$, as :

$$S_{mq}(c):=\sum_{l \bmod m}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \bmod q} S_{mq}(a,l;c). \tag{3.12}$$

From the assumption, $Q=(r^{-1}m)^{1+\delta}$. In the next section we prescribe a smooth compactly supported function w such that if $w(t)\neq 0$ then

$$\frac{F(X)-N}{m^2Q^2}=\frac{G(t_1,\dots,t_d)}{Q^2}\ll 1. \tag{3.13}$$

Since the support of the smooth function $h(x,y)$ is inside the interval $0\leq x\leq \max(2y,1)$. Hence, the summation on q is restricted to $1\leq q\ll Q$.

3.1. **The properties of the function $h(x,y)$.** In this section we cite the basic properties of the smooth function $h(x,y)$ from [HB96]. The following lemma shows $h(x,y)$ converges to the delta function rapidly as $x\to 0$. For the proof we refer the reader to [HB96, Lemma 9].

Lemma 3.3. *Let f be a smooth function with compact support. Then if $x\ll 1$ we have*

$$\int f(y)h(x,y)dy=f(0)+O_M(x^M). \tag{3.14}$$

The following lemma shows the smooth property of the $h(x,y)$ in the y variable. Since, it converges to the delta function from the previous lemma, as $x\to 0$ the decay rate of the Fourier transform is slower as $x\to 0$. For the proof, we refer the reader to [HB96, Lemma 17]

Lemma 3.4. *Let ω be a smooth compactly supported weight function and $p(t)$ be the Fourier transform of $\omega(y)h(x,y)$, i.e.,*

$$p(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\omega(y)h(r,y)e(-ty)dy. \tag{3.15}$$

Then, $p(t)$ decays faster than any polynomial in variable rt, i.e. for every $N \geq 0$

$$p(t) \ll_{\omega,N} (xt)^{-N}. \tag{3.16}$$

4. Quadratic exponential sums $S_{mq}(c)$

In this section, we give upper bounds for the quadratic exponential sums $S_{mq}(c)$. We show that this exponential sum is nonzero for certain congruence class c mod m. By Chinese remainder theorem, we write the sum $S_{mq}(c)$ as a product of two summation:

$$S_{mq}(c) = S_1 S_2$$

In Lemma 4.2, we give an upper bound on S_1 from the generalized Kloostermann's sum (Salie's sum) for even (odd) dimension . In Lemma 4.3, by applying a Cauchy inequality and next computing the square norm, we obtain an upper bound on S_2. Finally, we show in Lemma 4.4 that we have square root cancellation on average for the exponential sum $S_{mq}(c)$.

In the following lemma we show that $S_{mq}(a,l;c) = 0$ unless $c_i \equiv -2(lq+a)\lambda_i \bmod m$ for every $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Lemma 4.1. *We let $F(X) = X^T AX$ be a non degenerate quadratic form and*

$$S_{mq}(a,l;c) = \sum_{b \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{mq\mathbb{Z}}^d} e\Big(\frac{(lq+a)(2\lambda^T Ab - k) + amF(b) + \langle c, b\rangle}{mq}\Big).$$

Then

$$S_{mq}(a,l;c) = 0,$$

unless $c \equiv -2(lq+a)A\lambda$ mod m. As a result $S_{mq}(c) = 0$, unless

$$c \equiv \alpha A\lambda \text{ for some scalar } \alpha \text{ mod } m.$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$ mod m.

Proof: We write vector b in the summation as

$$b = qb_1 + b_2,$$

where b_1 is a vector mod m and b_2 is a vector mod q. Then we write $S_{mq}(a,l;c)$ as a summation over b_1 and b_2. We obtain

(4.1)

$$S_{mq}(a,l;c) = \sum_{b_2} e\Big(\frac{(lq+a)(2\lambda^T Ab_2 - k) + amF(b_2) + \langle c, b_2\rangle}{mq}\Big) \sum_{b_1} e\Big(\frac{(lq+a)2\lambda^T Ab_1 + \langle c, b_1\rangle}{m}\Big).$$

It is easy to check that the summation on b_1 is zero unless

$$c \equiv -2(lq+a)A\lambda \bmod m.$$

Since

$$S_{mq}(c) := \sum_{l \bmod m} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \bmod q} S_{mq}(a,l;c),$$

if $S_{mq}(c) \neq 0$, then for some a mod q and l mod m, $S_{mq}(a,l;c) \neq 0$. Therefore,

$$c \equiv -2(lq+a)A\lambda \bmod m.$$

Hence, we conclude the lemma $\square$.

In the rest of this section, we give an upper bound for $S_{mq}(c)$ when this summation is nonzero. We let

$$\Delta := \det A$$

where A is the symmetric matrix corresponded to our non-degenerate quadratic form $F(X) = X^T AX$. We note that

$$S_{mq}(c) = \sum_{l \bmod m} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \bmod q} S_{mq}(a, l; c),$$

since the summation over l is nonzero only if $m|2\lambda^T Ab - k$ and it is m when $m|2\lambda^T Ab - k$, then we have

$$S_{mq}(c) = m \sum_{b,a} e\Big(\frac{a(2\lambda^T Ab - k) + amF(b) + \langle c, b\rangle}{mq}\Big). \tag{4.2}$$

where the summation is over $a \in \big(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q\mathbb{Z}}\big)^*$ and vectors $b \in \big(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{mq\mathbb{Z}}\big)^d$ such that

$$m|2\lambda^T Ab - k.$$

We assume that

$$q = q_1 q_2,$$

where $\gcd(q_1, 2\Delta m) = 1$ and the set of primes which divide q_2 is a subset of primes in Δm. As a result $\gcd(q_1, mq_2) = 1$. Therefore, we can write $k = mq_2k_1 + q_1k_2$ for some integers k_1 and k_2, and also from Chinese remainder theorem we obtain

$$b = mq_2b_1 + q_1b_2, \tag{4.3}$$

where $b_1 \in \big(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q_1\mathbb{Z}}\big)^d$ and moreover $b_2 \in \big(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{mq_2\mathbb{Z}}\big)^d$ and $m|2\lambda^T Ab - k$. We can also write

$$a = q_2a_1 + q_1a_2. \tag{4.4}$$

where $a_1 \in \big(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q_1\mathbb{Z}}\big)^*$ and $a_2 \in \big(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q_2\mathbb{Z}}\big)^*$. We substitute these values of $k = mq_2k_1 + q_1k_2$, $a = q_2a_1 + q_1a_2$ and $b = mq_2b_1 + q_1b_2$ in the formula (4.2) for $S_{mq}(c)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{mq}(c) = &\sum_{a_1,b_1} e\Big(\frac{2q_2a_1\lambda^T Ab_1 + a_1(mq_2)^2F(b_1) + \langle c, b_1\rangle + q_2a_1k_1}{q_1}\Big) \\ &\times m \sum_{a_2,b_2} e\Big(\frac{2q_1a_2\lambda^T Ab_2 + a_2mq_1^2F(b_2) + \langle c, b_2\rangle + q_1a_2k_2}{mq_2}\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $m|2\lambda^T Ab_2 - k_2$. We define

$$S_1 := \sum_{a_1,b_1} e\Big(\frac{2q_2a_1\lambda^T Ab_1 + a_1(mq_2)^2F(b_1) + \langle c, b_1\rangle + q_2a_1k_1}{q_1}\Big), \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$S_2 := m \sum_{a_2,b_2} e\Big(\frac{2q_1a_2\lambda^T Ab_2 + a_2mq_1^2F(b_2) + \langle c, b_2\rangle + q_1a_2k_2}{mq_2}\Big), \tag{4.7}$$

where $m|2\lambda^T Ab_2 - k_2$. In the following lemma we give upper bounds for S_1.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $F(X) := X^T AX$ be a quadratic form such that $\Delta := \det(A) \neq 0$. Assume that q is an odd integer such that $\gcd(q, 2\Delta) = 1$ and let*

$$S(F, c, c', k) := \sum_{a,b} e\Big(\frac{a[F(b) + \langle c', b\rangle + k] + \langle c, b\rangle}{q}\Big), \tag{4.8}$$

where a varies in units $\big(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q\mathbb{Z}}\big)^*$ and b varies in $\big(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q\mathbb{Z}}\big)^d$. Then

$$S = \Big(\frac{\Delta}{q}\Big)\tau_q^d Kl(F, c, c', k) \tag{4.9}$$

where $\tau_q := \sum_x e\big(\frac{x^2}{q}\big)$ is the Gauss sum, $\Big(\frac{\cdot}{\cdot}\Big)$ is the Legendre symbol and $Kl(F, c, c', k)$ is either a Kloosterman's sum or a Salie's sum. Therefore we have the following upper bound on $S(F, c, c', k)$

$$S(F, c, c', k) \leq q^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\tau(q)\gcd(q, N)^{1/2}. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof: Since q is odd, we can diagonalize our quadratic form $F(x) \bmod q$. Therefore, without loss of generality we assume that our quadratic form is a diagonal quadratic form

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i x_i^2$$

Hence,

$$S(F, c, c', k) := \sum_a \prod_{j=1}^{d} \sum_b e\Big(\frac{a[\alpha_j b^2 + c_j' b + k] + c_j b}{q}\Big).$$

We complete the square to obtain

$$S(F, c, c', k) := \sum_a \prod_{j=1}^{d} \sum_b e\Big(\frac{a\alpha_j\big(b + \frac{ac_j' + c_j}{2a\alpha_j}\big)^2 + ak - \frac{(ac_j' + c_j)^2}{4a\alpha_j}}{q}\Big)$$

$$= \tau_q^d\Big(\frac{\Delta}{q}\Big)e\big(\frac{\sum_j \frac{c_j c_j'}{\alpha_j}}{2q}\big)\sum_a \Big(\frac{a}{q}\Big)^d e\big(\frac{a(dk + \sum_j \frac{c_j'^2}{4\alpha_j}) + a^{-1}\sum_j(\frac{c_j^2}{\alpha_j})}{q}\big)$$

Note that for odd d we get the Salie's sum and for even d we have Kloosterman's sum. Therefore, we conclude the lemma.□

In this lemma we give an upper bound on S_2.

Lemma 4.3. *Let*

$$S_2 := m\sum_{a_2, b_2} e\Big(\frac{2q_1 a_2 \lambda^T A b_2 + a_2 m q_1^2 F(b_2) + \langle c, b_2\rangle + q_1 a_2 k_2}{mq_2}\Big) \tag{4.11}$$

where $m | 2\lambda^T A b_2 - k_2$. Then,

$$S_2 \ll_\Delta m^d q_2^{1+d/2}. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof: From the Cauchy inequality on a_2 variable we have

$$|S_2|^2 \leq m^2\phi(q_2)\sum_{a_2}\Big|\sum_{b_2} e\Big(\frac{2q_1 a_2 \lambda^T A b_2 + a_2 m q_1^2 F(b_2) + \langle c, b_2\rangle + q_1 a_2 k_2}{mq_2}\Big)\Big|^2$$

$$\leq m^2\phi(q_2)^2\sum_{b_2, b_2'} e\Big(\frac{2q_1 a_2 \lambda^T A(b_2 - b_2') + a_2 m q_1^2(F(b_2) - F(b_2')) + \langle c, b_2 - b_2'\rangle}{mq_2}\Big),$$

where $m|2\lambda^T Ab_2 - k_2$ and $m|2\lambda^T Ab_2' - k_2$. We change the variables and write $u = b_2 - b_2'$. Hence,

$$|S_2|^2 \leq m^2\phi(q_2)^2 \sum_{u,b_2} e\Big(\frac{2q_1a_2\lambda^T Au + a_2mq_1^2(2b_2Au + F(u)) + \langle c, u\rangle}{mq_2}\Big).$$

where $m|2\lambda^T Ab_2 - k_2$ and $m|2\lambda^T Au$. The summation over b_2 is unless $q_2|\Delta \gcd(u)$. Therefore,

$$|S_2|^2 \ll_\Delta \phi(q_2)^2(m^2q_2)^d.$$

Hence,

$$|S_2| \ll_\Delta m^d q_2^{1+\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Therefore we conclude the lemma□.

In the last lemma of this section we prove an average result on the norm of the exponential sums $S_{qm}(c)$ with respect to q.

Lemma 4.4. *We have the following upper bound*

$$\sum_{q=1}^{X} m^{-d}q^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}|S_{qm}(c)| \ll_\Delta m^\epsilon X^{1+\epsilon}. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof: This is an easy consequence of Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3. We factor

$$q = q_1q_2,$$

where $\gcd(q_1, 2m\Delta) = 1$ and prime factors of q_2 are subset of prime factors of $m\Delta$. From the formula (4.5), we deduce that

$$S_{mq}(c)| = |S_1||S_2|.$$

One can easily check that Lemma 4.2 implies

$$S_1 \leq q_1^{\frac{d+1}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

Lemma 4.3 implies that

$$S_2 \ll_\Delta m^d q_2^{1+d/2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{q=1}^{X} m^{-d}q^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}|S_{qm}(c)| \ll \sum_{q=1}^{X} q_1^\epsilon q_2^{1/2} \leq X^\epsilon \sum_{q=1}^{X} q_2^{1/2}.$$

Note that the prime factors of q_2 are subsets of prime factors of $m\Delta$, hence

$$\sum_{q=1}^{X} q_2^{1/2} \leq X^{1+\epsilon}m^\epsilon.$$

Therefore, we conclude the lemma.

5. The integral $I_{mq}(c)$

In this section we give upper bounds on the integral $I_{qm}(c)$, which appears in the expansion (3.10). We partition the integral vectors $c \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ into two sets. We call the vectors in the first set by ordinary vectors which we discuss in section 5.1 and the vectors in the second set are called exceptional vectors. We prove an upper bound for the exceptional vectors in section 5.2. The definition of the two types of ordinary and exceptional vectors are based on a choice of a point b where $F(b) = N$ and b is in the support of w, however we remark here that it does not depend on b,i.e any different choice would give the same partition.

We change our standard basis to a new basis given by the tangent space of a point b on the hypersurface $F(x) = N$ where b is also in the support of the weight function $w(x)$. We assume that $F(X)$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_d) = X^T A X.$$

We assume that the smooth weight function w is compactly supported inside an open set given by fattening the tangent space of the hyper-surface given by $F(X) = N$ at b. We give a precise description of the weight function $w(x)$ in what follows . The gradient of $F(x_1, \dots, x_d) = X^T A X$ at point b is given by

$$\nabla F_{|b} = 2Ab. \tag{5.1}$$

We let e_d be the norm 1 vector in the direction of $\nabla F_{|b}$. The tangent space of the level set $F(X) = N$ at b is the orthogonal complement of e_d and we denote it by

$$T_b(F) := e_d^{\perp}$$

We restrict the quadratic from $F(X)$ to the $d-1$ dimensional subspace $T_b(F)$. By a standard theorem in Linear algebra we can find an orthogonal basis $B := \{e_1, \dots, e_{d-1}\}$ for $T_b(F)$ such that F is diagonal in this basis. Namely,

$$F(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} u_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i u_i^2 \tag{5.2}$$

We write the integral vectors c in the orthonormal basis

$$B_1 := \{e_1, \dots, e_{d-1}, e_d\}. \tag{5.3}$$

We remark that c does not necessarily have integral coordinates anymore.

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i e_i \tag{5.4}$$

We define two types of the ordinary vectors. We call them ordinary of type I and type II. Type I vectors c are the integral vectors c such that their norm are large, more precisely

$$|c| \geq (mr^{-1})^{1+\epsilon}, \tag{5.5}$$

where $|.| = \max_i c_i$, and $\epsilon > 0$ is any positive number which is independent of δ. The type II vectors c are the integral vectors c such that there exist $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ where

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &\gg m(mr^{-1})^{\epsilon}. \\ |c| &< (mr^{-1})^{1+\epsilon}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

We call the complement of these integral vectors the exceptional integral vectors.

We give a change of variable formula for the integral $I_{mq}(c)$ where we use in the subsequent subsections. Recall that

$$I_{mq}(c)=\int h(\frac{q}{Q},\frac{F(mt+a)-N}{m^2Q^2})w(t)e\big(-\frac{\langle c,t\rangle}{mq}\big)dt_1\ldots.dt_d, \tag{5.7}$$

Since $F(b)=b^TAb=N\neq 0$, then $B_2:=\{e_1,\ldots,e_{d-1},b\}$ is also a basis and we express the vector $X-b$ in two basises B_1 and B_2 as follows:

$$X-b=\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}mu_ie_i+m\alpha e_d, \tag{5.8}$$

$$X-b=\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}m\tilde{u_i}e_i+m\beta b, \tag{5.9}$$

where if $b=\sum_{i=1}^{d}b_ie_i$ then

$$u_i=\tilde{u_i}+\beta b_i, \tag{5.10}$$

$$\alpha=\beta b_d. \tag{5.11}$$

Note that the range of u_i are bounded by Q. Since $\{e_1,\ldots,e_d\}$ is an orthonormal basis then

$$dX=m^ddu_1\ldots du_{d-1}d\alpha.$$

Let $y:=\frac{F(X)-N}{m^2Q^2}$, hence $\frac{\partial y}{\partial\alpha}=\frac{X^TAe_d}{mQ^2}$

$$du_1\ldots du_{d-1}d\alpha = \frac{\partial y}{\partial\alpha}^{-1}du_1\ldots du_{d-1}dy, \tag{5.12}$$

$$= \frac{mQ^2}{X^TAe_d}du_1\ldots du_{d-1}dy. \tag{5.13}$$

Recall that $X=mt+a$, hence

$$dX=m^ddt.$$

Therefore,

$$dt=\frac{mQ^2}{X^TAe_d}du_1\ldots du_{d-1}dy. \tag{5.14}$$

We substitute $b=mt_0+a$ and also $dt_1\ldots dt_d=\frac{mQ^2}{X^TAe_d}du_1\ldots du_{d-1}dy$, hence

$$I_{mq}(c)=\int h(\frac{q}{Q},\frac{F(mt+a)-N}{m^2Q^2})w(t)e\big(-\frac{\langle c,t\rangle}{mq}\big)dt_1\ldots.dt_d$$

$$=e\big(\frac{\langle c,t_0\rangle}{mq}\big)\frac{mQ^2}{R}\int\frac{R}{X^TAe_d}h(\frac{q}{Q},y)w(t)e\big(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}c_iu_i+\alpha c_d}{mq}\big)du_1\ldots du_{d-1}dy. \tag{5.15}$$

5.1. **Upper bound on the integral $I_{mq}(c)$ for ordinary vectors.**

In this section we prove a decay rate for $I_{mq}(c)$ when c is an ordinary vector. These are the integral vectors such that $I_{mq}(c)$ decays fast as $c\to\infty$. In the following lemma, we give an upper bound for the integral $I_{mq}(c)$, when c is an ordinary vector. Moreover, we show that the contribution of them in the delta method is bounded.

Lemma 5.1. *Let c be an ordinary type vector. Then for any $N > 0$, we have the following upper bounds on $I_{mq}(c)$ if c is an ordinary type I vector:*

$$I_{mq}(c) \ll_N \Big(|c|r^{-1}m\Big)^{-N}. \tag{5.16}$$

and if c is an ordinary type II vector we have

$$I_{mq}(c) \ll_N \Big(r^{-1}m\Big)^{-N}. \tag{5.17}$$

As a corollary, we show that the contribution of them is bounded in the delta method, namely

$$\frac{C_Q}{mQ^2}\sum_q\sum_c (mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c) \ll_\epsilon 1. \tag{5.18}$$

Proof: Recall that

$$I_{mq}(c) = \int h(\frac{q}{Q}, \frac{F(mt+a)-N}{m^2Q^2})w(t)e\big(-\frac{\langle c,t\rangle}{mq}\big)dt_1\ldots.dt_d. \tag{5.19}$$

Without lost of generality, we assume that the weight function w is a product of two compactly supported weight function as follows:

$$w(t) = w_0(t)w_1(y), \tag{5.20}$$

where $y = \frac{F(mt+a)-N}{m^2Q^2}$. We let $p_{q/Q}(\xi)$ be the Fourier transform of $h(\frac{q}{Q}, x)w_1(x)$, i.e.,

$$p_{q/Q}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_1(x)h(q/,x)e(-\xi x)dx. \tag{5.21}$$

Therefore,

$$I_{mq}(c) = \int_\xi p_{q/Q}(\xi)\int_t w_0(t)e\big(\xi y - \frac{\langle t,c\rangle}{mq}\big)dt. \tag{5.22}$$

Recall that $X = mt + a$.

$$X - b = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} mu_ie_i + m\alpha e_d, \tag{5.23}$$

$$X - b = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} m\tilde{u_i}e_i + m\beta b, \tag{5.24}$$

where if $b = \sum_{i=1}^d b_ie_i$ then

$$u_i = \tilde{u_i} + \beta b_i, \tag{5.25}$$

$$\alpha = \beta b_d. \tag{5.26}$$

We also have the following formula for the volume form

$$dt = \langle b, e_d\rangle\, d\tilde{u_i}d\beta$$

We express y in terms of $\tilde{u_i}$ and β

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{F(X)-N}{m^2Q^2} \\ &= \frac{\big(\sum m\tilde{u}_i e_i + (1+m\beta)b\big)^T A\big(\sum m\tilde{u}_i e_i + (1+m\beta)b\big) - N}{m^2Q^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_1^{d-1} \tilde{u_i}^2 m^2\lambda_i + (1+m\beta)^2 N - N}{m^2Q^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

Using the above expression for y, and also the following expansion for the inner product

$$\langle t, c\rangle = \langle t_0, c\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i u_i,$$

where $b = mt_0 + a$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{mq}(c) = e\big(\frac{\langle c, t_0\rangle}{mq}\big)\, \langle b, e_d\rangle \int_{\xi} p_{q/Q}(\xi) \\ \int_{\beta,\tilde{u}} w_0(\tilde{u_1},\dots,\tilde{u}_{d-1},\beta) e\big(\frac{\xi\beta(2/m+\beta)N}{Q^2} + \frac{\beta\,\langle b, c\rangle}{mq}\big) \\ \times e\big(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}[\frac{\xi\lambda_i\tilde{u}_i^2}{Q^2} + \frac{c_i\tilde{u}_i}{mq}]\big) d\beta d\tilde{u}_d d\xi \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

Since we are only concerned about the norm $|I_{mq}(c)|$, so we drop $e\big(\frac{\langle c,t_0\rangle}{mq}\big)$. From the assumptions, we needed y to be bounded, so this restricts the range of $\tilde{u_i}$ and β . Hence, we let $\tilde{u_i}$ vary between $-Q \le \tilde{u_i} \le Q$ and $-mQ^2/N \le \beta \le mQ^2/N$. We normalize the variables and write

$$x_i = \tilde{u_i}/Q, \tag{5.29}$$

$$x_d = \beta N/mQ^2. \tag{5.30}$$

The range of each integral is on the interval $[-1, 1]$ now. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{mq}(c)| = \frac{Q^{d+1} m\,\langle b, e_d\rangle}{N}\Big| \int_{\xi} p_{q/Q}(\xi) \\ \int_{-1}^{1}\dots\int_{-1}^{1} w_0(x) e\Big(x_d(2\xi + \frac{Q^2\,\langle b, c\rangle}{qN}) + \frac{\xi x_d^2 m^2 Q^2}{N}\Big) \\ e\big(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}[\xi\lambda_i x_i^2 + \frac{c_i Q x_i}{mq}]\big) dx_1\dots dx_d d\xi\Big|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

At this point, we assume that c is an ordinary vector of type II, i.e., there exist $1 \le i \le d-1$ such that

$$c_i \gg m(mr^{-1})^{\epsilon}.$$

We split the integral $I_{mq}(c)$ as a sum of two.

$$I_{mq}(c) = I_{mq}(c)_1 + I_{mq}(c)_2,$$

where $I_{mq}(c)_1$ is the integral over ξ where $|\xi| < (r^{-1}m)^{\epsilon/2}\frac{Q}{q}$ and $I_{mq}(c)_2$ is the integral over the complement when $|\xi| > (r^{-1}m)^{\epsilon/2}\frac{Q}{q}$. First, we show that

$$|I_{mq}(c)_2| \ll (r^{-1}m)^{-N}. \tag{5.32}$$

This is a consequence of the Lemma 3.4. Recall that

$$p_{q/Q}(\xi) \ll (|\xi|q/Q)^{-N}.$$

Since $|\xi| > (r^{-1}m)^{\epsilon/2}\frac{Q}{q}$, we deduce the claimed upper bound on $|I_{qm}(c)_2|$. It remains to show that

$$|I_{mq}(c)_1| \ll (r^{-1}m)^{-N}.$$

Then from the Fubini's theorem, we change the order of integration, and first take integration on the x_i variable

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{mq}(c)| = \frac{Q^{d+1}m\,\langle b, e_d\rangle}{N}\Big| \int_{\xi} p_{q/Q}(\xi) \\ \int_{-1}^{1}\dots\int_{-1}^{1} w_0(x) e\Big(x_d(2\xi + \frac{Q^2\,\langle b,c\rangle}{qN}) + \frac{\xi x_d^2 m^2 Q^2}{N}\Big) \\ e\big(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}[\xi\lambda_i x_i^2 + \frac{c_i Q x_i}{mq}]\big) dx_1 \dots \hat{dx_i} \dots dx_d d\xi \\ \int_{-1}^{1} w_0(x_0,\dots,x_i,\dots,x_d) e\big(\xi\lambda_i x_i^2 + \frac{c_i Q x_i}{mq}\big) dx_i\Big| \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

Note that since $|\xi| < (r^{-1}m)^{\epsilon/2}\frac{Q}{q}$ and $c_i \gg m(mr^{-1})^{\epsilon}$ we deduce that following lower bound on the derivative of the oscillatory in the x_i variable

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(\xi\lambda_i x_i^2 + \frac{c_i Q x_i}{mq})}{\partial x_i} &\gg \frac{c_i Q x_i}{mq} \\ &\gg \frac{(mr^{-1})^{\epsilon} Q}{q} \\ &\gg (mr^{-1})^{\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

By integrating by part multiple times in the x_i variable we have a fast decay for $I_{qm}(c)_1$. Because

$$\int_{x_i} w(x_i) e\big(\xi\lambda_i x_i^2 + \frac{c_i Q x_i}{mq}\big) dx_i \ll_N (mr^{-1})^{-N\epsilon}, \tag{5.35}$$

for every $N > 0$. Hence, if c is a type II vector, we proved the claimed upper bound on $I_{qm}(c)_1$ and therefore on $I_{qm}(c)$. In order to show that the contribution of the type II vectors is bounded in the delta method, namely

$$\frac{C_Q}{mQ^2}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{c}(mq)^{-d} S_{mq}(c) I_{mq}(c) \ll_{\epsilon} 1. \tag{5.36}$$

Note that $Q = (r^{-1}m)$ and since $|c| \leq (r^{-1}m)^{1+\epsilon}$ the number of type II vectors is bounded by $(r^{-1}m)^{d(1+\epsilon)}$. Therefore if we choose $N > \epsilon^{-1}2d$. We conclude the lemma for type II vectors.

It remain to prove the lemma for type I vectors c. We proceed as before and split the integral $I_{mq}(c)$ as a sum of two.

$$I_{mq}(c) = I_{mq}(c)_1 + I_{mq}(c)_2,$$

But this time, $I_{mq}(c)_1$ is the integral over ξ where $|\xi| < (|c|r^{-1}m)^{\epsilon/2}\frac{Q}{q}$ and $I_{mq}(c)_2$ is the integral over $|\xi| > (|c|r^{-1}m)^{\epsilon/2}\frac{Q}{q}$. From the same line as in (5.32), we deduce

$$|I_{mq}(c)_2| \ll (|c|r^{-1}m)^{-N}. \tag{5.37}$$

It remains to prove $I_{mq}(c)_1 \leq (|c|mr^{-1})^{-N}$ for the type I vectors c. If $|c_d| \neq \max_i |c_i|$, we proceed as before. So, we assume the harder case where $c_d = |c|$, because our lower bound for the partial derivative in x_d variable is worse than other variables. We proceed the same strategy and use the Fubuni's theorem. We first take integration along the x_d variable and we give a lower bound for the partial derivative of the oscillatory function in x_d variable.

$$\frac{\partial(x_d(2\xi + \frac{Q^2\langle b,c\rangle}{qN}) + \frac{\xi x_d^2 m^2 Q^2}{N})}{\partial x_i} \gg \frac{Q^2\langle b,c\rangle}{qN} - |2\xi| - |\frac{2\xi m^2 Q^2}{N}|. \tag{5.38}$$

Recall that $N = Q^2m^2r^{-2}$, and $|\xi| < (|c|r^{-1}m)^{\epsilon/2}\frac{Q}{q}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial(x_d(2\xi + \frac{Q^2\langle b,c\rangle}{qN}) + \frac{\xi x_d^2 m^2 Q^2}{N})}{\partial x_d} &\gg \frac{Q^2\langle b,c\rangle}{qN} \\ &\gg (|c|mr^{-1})^{\epsilon}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

By integrating by part multiple times in the x_d variable we deduce

$$I_{mq}(c)_1 \ll_N \Big(|c|r^{-1}m\Big)^{-N}. \tag{5.40}$$

Therefore we concluded the claimed upper bound (5.16) for the type I vectors. In order to prove the lemma it remains to show

$$\frac{C_Q}{mQ^2}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{c}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c) \ll_\epsilon 1. \tag{5.41}$$

where the sum is over the ordinary type I vectors. We note that the following sum is bounded over $c \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and as a result over the type I integral vectors.

$$\sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\frac{1}{|c|^{d+1}} \ll 1. \tag{5.42}$$

Hence, by choosing $N > 2d$ in (5.40), we deduce the lemma. □

5.2. **Upper bound on the integrals $I_{mq}(c)$ for exceptional vectors.**

In this section we prove an upper bound for $I_{mq}(c)$ when c is an exceptional vector. These are the complement of the ordinary vectors, i.e. the integral vectors c with the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned}|c_i| &< m(mr^{-1})^{\epsilon}, \\ |c| &< (r^{-1}m)^{1+\epsilon},\end{aligned} \tag{5.43}$$

where we used the basis B_1 defined in (5.3) to express $c = \sum_i c_i e_i$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let c be an exceptional integral vector then we have the following upper bound on $I_{mq}(c)$*

$$\frac{|I_{mq}(c)|}{mQ^2} \ll \frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}\min[\Big(\frac{Q|c|}{mr^{-1}q}\Big)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}, 1]. \tag{5.44}$$

Recall that $R = \sqrt{N} = Qmr^{-1}$ and $Q = (mr^{-1})^{1+\delta}$.

Proof: We recall from the formula (5.15)

$$|I_{mq}(c)| = \frac{mQ^2}{R}\int \frac{R}{X^T A e_d} h(\frac{q}{Q}, y) w(t) e\big(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i u_i + \alpha c_d}{mq}\big) du_1 \dots du_{d-1} dy.$$

We want to separate the variables in the oscillatory function and write α in terms of $u_1, \dots, u_{d-1}$ and y. First, we give the taylor expansion of α in terms of $u_1, \dots u_{d-1}, y$. Recall that

$$y = \frac{F(X) - N}{m^2Q^2}$$
$$= \frac{\big(\sum m\tilde{u}_i e_i + (1+m\beta)b\big)^T A\big(\sum m\tilde{u}_i e_i + (1+m\beta)b\big) - N}{m^2Q^2}.$$

Hence,

$$m^2Q^2y = \sum_{1}^{d-1} {\tilde{u_i}}^2 m^2\lambda_i + (1+m\beta)^2 b^T A b - N.$$

Since $b^T A b = N$, then

$$(1+m\beta)^2 = \frac{N + m^2Q^2y - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} m^2 {\tilde{u_i}}^2\lambda_i}{N}.$$

Therefore,

$$m\beta = \sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2Q^2y}{N} - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{m^2{\tilde{u_i}}^2}{N}\lambda_i} - 1$$

From the taylor expansion, we obtain

$$m\beta = \sqrt{\frac{m^2Q^2y}{N} + 1} - 1 + 1/2\Big[-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{m^2{\tilde{u_i}}^2}{N}\lambda_i\Big] + O(r^{-4}\frac{\tilde{u}_i^2}{Q^2}) \tag{5.45}$$

Since, we are going to use the stationary phase theorem in variables $x_i := \frac{\tilde{u}_i}{Q}$ and outside a small neighborhood of the critical point the integration decay rapidly we can ignore the error term in the Tylor expansion and localize the integral around the critical point with radius of the squre root of the coefficient of x_i^2 . Therefore,

$$\alpha = \frac{b_d}{2}\Big[\frac{mQ^2y}{N} - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{m{\tilde{u_i}}^2}{N}\lambda_i\Big] + O(Rr^{-4}m^{-1}) \tag{5.46}$$

We use the above expansion in the following formula for $|I_{mq}(c)|$

$$|I_{mq}(c)| = \frac{mQ^2}{R}\int \frac{R}{X^T A e_d} h(\frac{q}{Q}, y) w(t) e\big(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i u_i + \alpha c_d}{mq}\big) du_1 \dots du_{d-1} dy.$$

We use the Fubini's theorem and first take integration on $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}$.

$$\frac{|I_{mq}(c)|}{mQ^2} = \frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}\prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\int \frac{R}{X^T A e_d} w(x,y) e\Big(\frac{Qxc_i + \langle c, b\rangle m^{-1}r^2\lambda_i x^2/2}{mq}\Big) dx$$
$$h(\frac{q}{Q}, y) e\Big(\frac{\langle c, b\rangle y}{2(mr^{-1})^2 q}\Big) dy, \tag{5.47}$$

From the stationary phase theorem we obtain the following upper bound

$$G(y) := \int \frac{R}{X^T A e_d} w(x,y) e\Big(\frac{Qxc_i + \langle c, b\rangle m^{-1}r^2\lambda_i x^2/2}{mq}\Big) dx \ll \min\Big[\Big(\frac{\langle c, b\rangle m^{-1}r^2}{mq}\Big)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}, 1\Big]. \tag{5.48}$$

We note that $|c| = c_d$ and $b_d \approx R$. Therefore,

$$\langle c, b\rangle \approx R|c|.$$

We also recall that $R = mr^{-1}Q$. Therefore after simplifying (5.48), we deduce

$$G(y) \leq \min[\Big(\frac{Q|c|}{mr^{-1}q}\Big)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}, 1].$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{|I_{mq}(c)|}{mQ^2} = \frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}\int_i G(y)h(\frac{q}{Q}, y)e\Big(\frac{\langle c, b\rangle\, y}{2(mr^{-1})^2 q}\Big)dy. \tag{5.49}$$

We invoke the lemma 3.3 to conclude our lemma

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|I_{mq}(c)|}{mQ^2} &= \frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}\int_i G(y)h(\frac{q}{Q}, y)e\Big(\frac{\langle c, b\rangle\, y}{2(mr^{-1})^2 q}\Big)dy \\ &\ll \frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}G(0). \\ &\ll \frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}\min[\Big(\frac{Q|c|}{mr^{-1}q}\Big)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}, 1].\end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

Therefore, we conclude the lemma.□

5.3. **Bounding the sum over the exceptional vectors.** In this section we give an upper bound on the contribution of the exceptional integral vectors c in the delta method. First, we show the number of exceptional eigenvalues with a norm less than X is less than $X(r^{-1}m)^{\epsilon}$. This upper bound on the number of exceptional vectors and the upper bound on the integral $I_{qm}(c)$ allow us to bound the contribution of the exceptional integral vectors in the delta method.

Lemma 5.3. *The number of exceptional integral vectors c such that*

$$c \equiv \alpha a \ mod\ m,$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}^d$, and

$$|c| \leq X,$$

is bounded by $X(r^{-1}m)^{\epsilon}$.

Proof: The proof is based on the covering of $\mathbb{R}^d$ by boxes of volume m^{d-1} around the integral points with the following congruence condition

$$c \equiv \alpha a \bmod m.$$

From the definition of the exceptional vectors we know that

$$|c_i| < m(mr^{-1})^{\epsilon}. \tag{5.51}$$

Therefore, all the exceptional vectors with $|c| < X$ lies in a box with size

$$X \times m(mr^{-1})^{\epsilon} \times \cdots \times m(mr^{-1})^{\epsilon}$$

Therefore the number of exceptional vectors is less than

$$\frac{Xm^{d-1}(mr^{-1})^{(d-1)\epsilon}}{\text{Volume of the box}} = X(mr^{-1})^{(d-1)\epsilon}.$$

By choosing ϵ small enough in the definition of the exceptional vectors we conclude the lemma. □.

We are ready to give an upper bound on the contribution of the exceptional vectors in the delta method. In order to do that we need two more auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 5.4. *We have the following upper bound on the sum over the exceptional vectors with norm $|c| > Z$ and $1 \leq q \leq X$ for every $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\sum_{q=1}^{X} \sum_{|c|\geq Z} (mq)^{-d} S_{mq}(c) I_{mq}(c) \ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} X (r^{-1}m)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}(d-1)+\epsilon} Z^{-\frac{d-3}{2}} \tag{5.52}$$

Proof: From Lemma 4.1 $S_{mq}(c) = 0$, unless $c \equiv \alpha a \bmod m$. So, without loss of generality, we assume that $c \equiv \alpha a \bmod m$. We use the upper bound on $I_{mq}(c)$ that is proved in Lamma 5.2 to derive,

$$\sum_{q=1}^{X} \sum_{|c|\geq Z} (mq)^{-d} S_{mq}(c) I_{mq}(c) \ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} \sum_{q=1}^{X} \sum_{|c|\geq Z} q^{-d} m^{-d} |S_{mq}(c)| \Big(\frac{Q|c|}{r^{-1}mq} \Big)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}$$

From Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\sum_{q=1}^{X} m^{-d} q^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} |S_{qm}(c)| \ll_{\Delta} m^{\epsilon} X^{1+\epsilon}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{q=1}^{X} m^{-d} q^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} |S_{qm}(c)| \ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} X^{1+\epsilon} (r^{-1}m)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}(d-1)} \sum_{|c|\geq Z} \Big(\frac{1}{|c|} \Big)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

From the Lemma 5.3, we deduce that

$$\sum_{|c|\geq Z} \Big(\frac{1}{|c|} \Big)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \leq (r^{-1}m)^{\epsilon} Z^{-\frac{d-3}{2}}. \tag{5.53}$$

Since $X < Q = (r^{-1}m)^{1+\delta}$, a small enough choice for ϵ in the definition of the exceptional vectors c concludes the lemma. □

Lemma 5.5. *We have the following upper bound on the sum over $X \leq q \leq Q$ and exceptional vectors with $|c| < Z$*

$$\sum_{q=X}^{Q} \sum_{|c|<Z} (mq)^{-d} S_{mq}(c) I_{mq}(c) \ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} Z R^{\epsilon} X^{-\frac{d-3}{2}}. \tag{5.54}$$

Proof: We use the trivial upper bound on $I_{mq}(c)$, i.e.

$$|I_{mq}(c)| \ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R}. \tag{5.55}$$

Using this and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\sum_{q=X}^{Q} \sum_{|c|<Z} (mq)^{-d} S_{mq}(c) I_{mq}(c) \ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} Q^{\epsilon} X^{-\frac{d-3}{2}} \sum_{|c|<Z} 1. \tag{5.56}$$

From Lemma 5.3, we obtain

$$\sum_{|c|<Z} 1 \ll Z (mr^{-1})^{\epsilon}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{q=X}^{Q}\sum_{|c|<Z}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c)\ll\frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R}ZQ^{\epsilon}X^{-\frac{d-3}{2}}. \tag{5.57}$$

Therefore, we conclude the lemma.□

In the following lemma we apply the alternative use of the Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5 to improve an upper bound on the contribution of the exceptional vectors. We make an increasing sequence of (X_k, Z_k) of integers

$$X_k < X_{k+1} \text{ and } Z_k < Z_{k+1}.$$

such that the contribution of the exceptional vectors is negligible in the delta method if either $|c| < Z_k$ or $q < X_k$. Our strategy to bound the contribution of the exceptional vectors c is to find a k such that $Q < X_k$ or $(r^{-1}m)^{1+\epsilon} < Z_k$. We show such a k exists in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.6. *For every integer $k \geq 0$, there exists an $\eta > 0$ such that if $X_k = (r^{-1}m)^{\frac{\delta}{2}(d-1)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{k}(\frac{d-3}{2})^{2i}\right]-\eta}$ and $Z_k = (r^{-1}m)^{\frac{\delta}{2}(d-1)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{k}(\frac{d-3}{2})^{2i-1}\right]-\eta}$, then we have the following upper bound on the the sum over the exceptional integral vectors c*

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{c}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c)-\sum_{q=X_k}^{Q}\sum_{|c|>Z_k}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c)\leq\frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R}Q^{-\epsilon} \tag{5.58}$$

Proof: We prove this lemma by induction on k. For $k = 0$, we let $X_0 = (r^{-1}m)^{\frac{\delta(d-1)}{2}-\eta}$ and $Z_0 = 1$. Hence, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{q=1}^{X_0}\sum_{c}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c)\ll\frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R}Q^{-\epsilon}. \tag{5.59}$$

Note that this is implied from Lemma 5.4 for $X = (r^{-1}m)^{\frac{\delta}{2}(d-1)-\epsilon}$ and $Z = 1$. Next, we prove the inductive step. So, we assume the lemma holds for k. From the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{c}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c)-\sum_{q=X_k}^{Q}\sum_{|c|>Z_k}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c)\leq\frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R}Q^{-\epsilon}$$

where $X_k = (r^{-1}m)^{\frac{\delta}{2}(d-1)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{k}(\frac{d-3}{2})^{2i}\right]-\eta}$ and $Z_k = (r^{-1}m)^{\frac{\delta}{2}(d-1)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{k}(\frac{d-3}{2})^{2i-1}\right]-\eta}$. Note that

$$\sum_{q=X_k}^{Q}\sum_{|c|>Z_k}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c) = \sum_{q=X_{k+1}}^{Q}\sum_{|c|>Z_{k+1}}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c) \tag{5.60}$$

$$+\sum_{q=X_k}^{X_{k+1}}\sum_{|c|>Z_{k+1}}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c) \tag{5.61}$$

$$+\sum_{q=X_k}^{Q}\sum_{Z_k<|c|<Z_{k+1}}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c). \tag{5.62}$$

From Lemma 5.4, the middle sum (5.61) is bounded above by

$$\sum_{q=X_k}^{X_{k+1}} \sum_{|c|>Z_{k+1}} (mq)^{-d} S_{mq}(c) I_{mq}(c) \ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} X_{k+1} (r^{-1}m)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}(d-1)+\epsilon} Z_{k+1}^{-\frac{d-3}{2}} \tag{5.63}$$
$$\ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} Q^{-\epsilon}.$$

From Lemma 5.5, the last sum (5.62) is also bounded above by

$$\sum_{q=X_k}^{Q} \sum_{Z_k<|c|<Z_{k+1}} (mq)^{-d} S_{mq}(c) I_{mq}(c) \ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} Z_{k+1} Q^{\epsilon} X_k^{-\frac{d-3}{2}} \tag{5.64}$$

$$\ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} Q^{-\epsilon}. \tag{5.65}$$

Hence the lemma holds for $k+1$, and hence, we conclude the lemma $\square$.

Corollary 5.7. *Let $\delta > 0$ if the quadratic form $F(x)$ has $d \geq 5$ variables, and $\delta > 1$ if $d = 4$. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ which only depends on d and δ such that*

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{c\neq 0} (mq)^{-d} S_{mq}(c) I_{mq}(c) \ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} Q^{-\epsilon} \tag{5.66}$$

Proof: From the Lemma 5.6, it suffices to find a k such that $X_k > Q$ or $Z_k > (r^{-1}m)^{1+\epsilon}$. If $d \geq 5$, this is trivial, since $\frac{d-3}{2} \geq 1$ and in fact X_k and Z_k go to infinity as $k \to \infty$. For $d = 4$, It is easy to check there exists k such that $X_k > Q$ or $Z_k > (r^{-1}m)^{1+\epsilon}$.

6. The main theorem

In this section, we derive the main term of the smooth counting and as a result prove our optimal strong approximation theorem. The main term comes from $c = 0$ and summing over $1 \leq q \leq Q$. We estimate the integral $I_{mq}(0)$ it turns out that the main term of the integrals $I_{mq}(0)$ is independent of q if $1 \leq q \leq Q^{1-\epsilon}$, and the value is equal to the singular integral which appears in the circle method. On the other hand if $Q^{1-\epsilon} \leq q \leq Q$ the exponential sum is bounded by Lemma 4.4

$$\sum_{q=QR^{-\epsilon}}^{Q} (mq)^{-d} |S_q(0)| \ll Q^{\frac{3-d}{2}+\epsilon}. \tag{6.1}$$

Hence, their contributions are negligible in the main term. Therefore, the main term is the product of the singular integral with the sum of $S_{mq}(0)$ over $1 \leq q \leq Q^{1-\epsilon}$. This sum is converging to the singular series. As claimed, we derive a smooth counting formula with a power saving error term which is the product of the singular series with the singular integral.

6.1. Singular integral.

In the following lemma, we estimate the integral $I_{mq}(0)$ for $1 \leq q \leq Q^{1-\epsilon}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that $1 \leq q \leq Q^{1-\epsilon}$. Then*

$$I_{mq}(0) = \sigma_\infty(F, w) \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} + O_N(Q^{-N}) \tag{6.2}$$

for any $N > 0$, where $\sigma_\infty(F, w)$ is the singular integral and equals to

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\infty(F,w) &= \int_{y(x)=0} \frac{R}{X^T A e_d} w(x) dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\int_{0<y(x)<\epsilon} w(x) dx_1 \dots dx_d}{\epsilon} \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

where we normalize the variable so that $-1 < x_i < 1$.

Proof: We change the variables from $(t_1, \dots t_d)$ to $(u_1, \dots, u_{d-1}, y)$. We recall the formula (5.15) and plug in $c = 0$ in the formula to obtain

$$I_{mq}(0) = \int h(\frac{q}{Q}, \frac{F(mt+a)-N}{m^2Q^2}) w(t) dt_1 \dots . dt_d$$

$$= \frac{mQ^2}{R} \int \frac{R}{X^T A e_d} h(\frac{q}{Q}, y) w(t) du_1 \dots du_{d-1} dy. \tag{6.4}$$

We normalize variables again so that they lies in the interval $[-1, 1]$.

$$Q x_i = u_i,$$

$$\frac{mQ^2}{R} x_d = u_d.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$I_{mq}(0) = \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} \int \frac{R}{X^T A e_d} h(\frac{q}{Q}, y) w(x, y) dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1} dy.$$

We apply the Fubini's theorem and first take integration over y

$$I_{mq}(0) = \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} \int \frac{R}{X^T A e_d} dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1} \int h(\frac{q}{Q}, y) w(x, y) dy.$$

We invoke Lemma 3.3 and estimate the integral over y

$$I_{mq}(0) = \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} \int \frac{R}{X^T A e_d} w(x, 0) dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1} + O_N(Q^{-N}).$$

By definition of $\sigma_\infty(w, F)$, we deduce that

$$I_{mq}(0) = \sigma_\infty(F, w) \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} + O_N(Q^{-N}).$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial (x_d)}^{-1} = \frac{R}{X^T A e_d}.$$

Therefore, by change of variable formula we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\infty(F,w) &= \int_{y(x)=0} \frac{R}{X^T A e_d} w(x) dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\int_{0<y(x)<\epsilon} w(x) dx_1 \dots dx_d}{\epsilon}. \square \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

6.2. **Singular series.** In this section, we show the sum $S_{mq}(0)$ over $1 \leq q \leq Q^{1-\epsilon}$ is convergent to the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(N,p)$. In the following lemma, we show the contribution of $Q^{1-\epsilon} \leq q \leq Q$ is negligible in the delta method.

Lemma 6.2. *We have the following upper bound on the sum over* $Q^{1-\epsilon} \leq q \leq Q$

$$\sum_{q=Q^{1-\epsilon}}^{Q} (mq)^{-d} S_{mq}(0) I_{mq}(0) \ll_{\epsilon} \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R} Q^{\frac{3-d}{2}+\epsilon}. \tag{6.6}$$

Proof: We use the trivial bound on $I_{mq}(0)$ and we obtain

$$I_q(0) \ll \frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R}. \tag{6.7}$$

From Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\sum_{q=QR^{-\epsilon}}^{Q} (mq)^{-d} |S_q(0)| \ll Q^{\frac{3-d}{2}+\epsilon}. \tag{6.8}$$

Hence, we conclude the Lemma. □

We invoke the following lemma; see [HB96, Page 50; Lemma 31] which shows that the sum $S_{mq}(0)$ over $1 \leq q \leq Q^{1-\epsilon}$ is convergent to the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(N,p)$ and the singular series is the product of the local densities $\prod_p \sigma_p$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $F(x)$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form in d variables where $d \geq 4$, then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq q \leq X} (qm)^{-d} S_{mq}(0) = \prod_p \sigma_p + O_{\epsilon}(X^{3-d/2+\epsilon} Q^{\epsilon}) \tag{6.9}$$

As a result

$$\sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q^{1-\epsilon}} (qm)^{-d} S_{mq}(0) = \prod_p \sigma_p + O_{\epsilon}(Q^{3-d/2+\epsilon}) \tag{6.10}$$

We can now state and prove our main theorem.

Theorem 6.4. *Let F(X) be a non-degenerate quadratic form in d variables. Let m be an integer and $a \in \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{m\mathbb{Z}}\right)^d$ is any mod m solution for $F(a) = l$ where $l \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Let b be a real point such that $F(b) = 1$ and let $B(b,r)$ be the r neighborhood of the point b on the variety $F(x) = 1$, i.e.*

$$B(b,r) := \{x : F(x) = 1 \text{ and } dist(x,b) \leq r\}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ if $d > 4$ and $\delta > 1$ if $d = 4$. Assume that N is a positive integer such that

$$N := R^2 \text{ where } R \gg (mr^{-1})^{2+\delta},$$

and

$$Q := \frac{R}{mr^{-1}}.$$

We let $y(X) := \frac{F(X)-N}{m^2Q^2}$ and $w(x)$ be a compactly supported smooth weight function which is supported inside a convex region where y is bounded by a constant. Define

$$N(a,\omega) := \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega(mt+a) \delta_{F(mt+a)-N}. \tag{6.11}$$

Then we have the following formula:

$$N(a,\omega)=\sigma_\infty(F,w)\prod_p \sigma_p(a,N)\frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}+O_\epsilon(\frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}R^{-\epsilon}), \tag{6.12}$$

for some $\epsilon>0$.

Proof: We write the smooth expansion of the delta function as stated in Lemma 3.1

$$N(a,\omega)=\frac{C_Q}{mQ^2}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}^d}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(c)I_{mq}(c). \tag{6.13}$$

From Corollary 5.7 and Lemma 6.2, we can drop the nonzero integral vectors c and restrict the sum over q to $1\leq q\leq Q^{1-\epsilon}$, hence

$$N(a,w)=\frac{C_Q}{mQ^2}\sum_{q=1}^{Q^{1-\epsilon}}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(0)I_{mq}(0)+O_\epsilon(\frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}R^{-\epsilon}). \tag{6.14}$$

From Lemma 6.1, we have

$$I_{mq}(0)=\sigma_\infty(F,w)\frac{mQ^{d+1}}{R}+O_\epsilon(\frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}R^{-\epsilon}).$$

Therefore,

$$N(a,w)=\sigma_\infty(F,w)\frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}\sum_{q=1}^{Q^{1-\epsilon}}(mq)^{-d}S_{mq}(0)+O_\epsilon(\frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}R^{-\epsilon}).$$

Finally, from Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$N(a,\omega)=\sigma_\infty(F,w)\prod_p \sigma_p(a,N)\frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}+O_\epsilon(\frac{Q^{d-1}}{R}R^{-\epsilon}),$$

Therefore, we conclude our theorem.□

Since we derived a smooth counting formula for the number of integral points with a power saving error term. By a standard method in analysis we can find two smooth weight function $w_1(x)$ and $w_2(x)$ such that they approximate from below and above the characteristic function $\chi(x)$ of the open ball $B(b,r)$, i.e.

$$w_1(x)\leq\chi(x)\leq w_2(x). \tag{6.15}$$

Therefore we deduce our counting formula and Theorem 1.10.

References

[AC37] F. C. Auluck and S. Chowla. The representation of a large number as a sum of almost equal squares,. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, 6:8182., 1937.

[BR12] Jean Bourgain and Zeév Rudnick. Restriction of toral eigenfunctions to hypersurfaces and nodal sets. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 22(4):878–937, 2012.

[Chi95] Patrick Chiu. Covering with Hecke points. *J. Number Theory*, 53(1):25–44, 1995.

[Dae10] Dirk Daemen. Localized solutions in Waring's problem: the lower bound. *Acta Arith.*, 142(2):129–143, 2010.

[DFI93] W. Duke, J. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. Bounds for automorphic L-functions. *Invent. Math.*, 112(1):1–8, 1993.

[Eic54] Martin Eichler. Quaternäre quadratische Formen und die Riemannsche Vermutung für die Kongruenzzetafunktion. *Arch. Math.*, 5:355–366, 1954.

[GGN14] A. Ghosh, A. Gorodnik, and A. Nevo. Diophantine approximation exponents on homogeneous varieties. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2014.

[Har90] Glyn Harman. Approximation of real matrices by integral matrices. *J. Number Theory*, 34(1):63–81, 1990.

[HB96] D. R. Heath-Brown. A new form of the circle method, and its application to quadratic forms. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 481:149–206, 1996.

[IK04] Henryk Iwaniec and Emmanuel Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*, volume 53 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[Kim03] Henry H. Kim. Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(1):139–183, 2003. With appendix 1 by Dinakar Ramakrishnan and appendix 2 by Kim and Peter Sarnak.

[Klo27] H. D. Kloosterman. On the representation of numbers in the form $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dt^2$. *Acta Math.*, 49(3-4):407–464, 1927.

[KM13] D. Kleinbock and K. Merrill. Rational Approximation on Spheres. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2013.

[LPS88] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak. Ramanujan graphs. *Combinatorica*, 8(3):261–277, 1988.

[Mal62] A. V. Malyšev. On the representation of integers by positive quadratic forms. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*, 65:212, 1962.

[Sar15a] Peter Sarnak. *Letter to Scott Aaronson and Andy Pollington on the Solovay-Kitaev Theorem*, February 2015.

[Sar15b] Peter Sarnak. *Letter to Stephen D. Miller and Naser Talebizadeh Sardari on optimal strong approximation by integral points on quadrics; the case:* $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to SL_2(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q\mathbb{Z}})$, August 2015.

[Tij86] R. Tijdeman. Approximation of real matrices by integral matrices. *J. Number Theory*, 24(1):65–69, 1986.

[TS15] Naser Talebizadeh Sardari. *Diameter of Ramanujan graphs and random Cayley graphs with numerics* , 2015.

[Wri33] E. M. Wright. The representation of a number as a sum of five or more squares (ii). *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, 4:37–51 ; 228–232., 1933.

[Wri37] E. M. Wright. The representation of a number as a sum of four almost equal squares. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, 8:278–279., 1937.